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## Acronyms

Amsl.
DEM

Above Main Sea Level
Digital Elevation Model

| UTM | Universal Transverses Marcater |
| :--- | :---: |
| Km | Kilometer |
| m | Meter |
| min | Minutes |
| $\mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{s}$ | litter persecond |
| $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{hr}$ | milimeter per hour |
| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Meter per second |
| $\mathrm{M}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | Meter cubic per second |
| mm | Milimeter |
| ha | hectar |
| Q | Discharge in (m ${ }^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| TWWSDSE | Tigray Water Works Study Design and Supervision Enterprise. |
| TWRB | Tigray Water Resource Bureau |

Salient Features
Name of the project: Ruba-Chemiet Pump Irrigation Project
a. Location

Region: Tigray
Zone: Central Zone

Woreda: Werie Leke

Tabiya: Adi Hedem
b. Accessibility: Total distance from the Woreda capital (Werie leke) : 33 Km
c. Geographic location:

Pump Station

Easting $=526737 \mathrm{~m}$

Northing $=1548921 \mathrm{~m}$

Elevation $=1717.5 \mathrm{~m}$ m.a.s. 1
d. Headwork structure: - Pump irrigation type

Type of pump: Surface pump (Centrifugal)

Pump Power: 187.00KW
e. Command Area:

Dry time command area: 97 ha
f. Design discharge

Discharge of two pump-152.07 lit/s

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. General

It has been studied that irrigation projects have impacts on the cultural, social, and economic development and transformation of people. The impact can also particularly include significant improvements to economic development, in household income levels and security of livelihoods. Understanding the potential breadth of the impact that irrigation projects can have on poor people lives achieved a sustainable economic level. In order to ensure sustainable economic level of people, it becomes essential to plan and build sustainable and suitable irrigation projects, which will provide water to the irrigation area accordance with their water requirement.

This study is taken place in lot-5 of Ruba-Chemiet project and it is a pump irrigation system project. It contains the project back ground, objectives, the approach and methodologies to conduct the study, project area identification, provisional routes for pipes, conditional sites or locations for major irrigation components and the detailed work plan.

### 1.2. Background of the study

The project site area is predominantly traditional agriculture area. The rain fall is variable, erratic, seasonal and short duration, which is insufficient for proper growth and maturity of crops. So far very little irrigation has been developed in the area and most of the area is dependent on rains resulting in mono cropping. Hence to reduce the food deficient the regional government of Tigray has given prime importance to the improvement of water resource and improved agricultural technologies.

Therefore, the Tigray water resource bureau in collaboration with non-governmental organizations like, IFAD (International Fund for Agricultural Development) assists the development goal of the region. This context IFAD give due attention for the upgrading
and sustaining traditional irrigation schemes to ensure the food security of the farming system.

To introduce the irrigation system, it has been decided to undertake an intensive study. As a result, Tigray Water Works Study, Design and Supervision Enterprise, the consultant, has been given by the client of BoWR (Bureau of water resource) to undertake full scale study, to identify and undertake the feasibility of the Ruba-Chemiet as a potential water source to irrigate the project area.

The study has been started by deploying a team composing of a multidisciplinary higher level professional who have vast experience in studying, planning and designing irrigation projects to identify the feasibility of the Ruba-Chemiet project as a source of water for pump irrigation system project due considerations to its technical and economic viability, environmental soundness and operational suitability.

As a result, the study and design team has identified possible scenarios and alternatives and conducted all necessary evaluations to come up with the suitable and viable irrigation system components as part of the prefeasibility study. From the finding that the team has revealed, based on the terms of reference prepared by the IFAD (International Fund for Agricultural Development) as guideline.

### 1.3. Objective of the study

- To introduce a farmer to modern Irrigation and drainage techniques for upgrading the local agricultural productivity based on our local resources development.
- Insuring the farmer in sustainable food sufficiency and family income Generating.
- Upgrade the Skill of local farmer's capacity for proper use of existed water resources and land managements.
- Motor Pump system design on the Ruba-Chemiet river as a source of water for pump irrigation.
- Survey and Prepare topo maps for Head and command area.
- Detail Survey for pipe line route.
- Locate proper place for detention ponds.
- Survey and Location the pound detentions for the project.


### 1.4. Methodology

The methodology comprises to design surface pump irrigation system from river RubaChemiet to detention pond. Based on the reconnaissance investigation, field assessment has carried out to check the topographical future of the project area. Satellite image, spot image and DEM of the project area have been used. Detail surveying has carried out. Command areas have been identified and delineated. Alternative pipe route has been assessed out of which the best route is selected.

### 1.5. Project area description

Geographical location of an Adindan reading Ruba-Chemiet site on the on the pump station is 526737 E 1548921 N UTM and elevation of 1717.5 m m.a.s.l.The site is located at central zone of Tigray regional state wereda werie leke tabiya Adi Hedem kushet Hedem. The commands area of Ruba-chemiet irrigation schemes is found at a 33 km along the main road running from Edag arbi to nebelet. Ruba chemiet to the right side of River Chemiet.


Figure 1:Location of project area Ruba-Chemiet
Table 1:Bench Mark location

| X | Y | Z | Remark |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 526435.0550 | 1548933.3840 | 1748.722 | GPS_1 |
| 526696.158 | 1548975.233 | 1732.0411 | GPS_1A |
| 525802.022 | 1549749.695 | 1761.554 | GPS_2 |
| 525845.110 | 1549773.470 | 1761.4320 | GPS_2A |

### 1.6. Data Requirements

## a. Agronomical data

For Ruba-chemiet the agronomy of the area most practiced is studied suited for the growth of several field crops, vegetables and fruit trees. The following crops are selected and proposed to be grown under the envisaged irrigation scheme. These crops are selected after thorough evaluation of:

- Agro climate and soil of the area; experience and preference of farmers; Economic consideration (marketability of the crop) and improved seed availability; and response of the crop toward irrigation (yield potential).

Table 2:Proposed cropping pattern

| Crops | Area <br> $(\%)$ | Planting <br> date | Harvesting <br> date | Growing <br> season |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Linseed | 10 | June 1 | Oct 07 | Rainy |
| season |  |  |  |  |
| Chickpea | 10 | June 1 | Aug 28 |  |
| Lentil | 10 | June 1 | Oct 07 |  |
| Maize | 20 | June 1 | Oct 07 |  |
| Sorghum | 30 | June 1 | Sep 28 |  |
| Teff | 10 | June 20 | Oct 09 |  |
| Pepper | 30 | Nov 20 | Mar 27 | Dry <br> season |
| Tomato | 25 | Nov 20 | Mar 07 |  |
| Swiss <br> chard | 20 | Nov 20 | Mar 17 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Fenugreek | 15 | Nov 20 | Jan 17 |  |
| Mango | 5 | Nov 20 |  | Perennial |
| papaya | 5 | Nov 20 |  |  |

Actually, the farmers are not limited to grow only these crops. They can shift to other more profitable crops when conditions are convenient.


The net irrigation need of the scheme during both dry and wet seasons 134.3 $\mathrm{mm} /$ month on Feb and per hectare irrigation water budget of the scheme is about $8470.59 \mathrm{~m} 3 /$ ha. Additionally, considering $57 \%$ over-all project efficiency and 14 scheme operation is $1.57 \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{h}$.

## b. Soil characteristic of the project area

The command area of Ruba-Chemiet irrigation project is situated to the right side of Ruba-Chemiet River. According to survey of soil expert the dominant soil types of Ruba-Chemiet irrigation scheme is Leptosol, cambisol and regosol. For detail, refer to soil study report of the scheme.

Agricultural soils are best classified based on texture. Texture affects practically all of the factors governing plant growth; in other words, texture influences the movement and availability of soil moisture, aeration, nutrient availability, and the resistance of the soil to root penetration. Soil texture also influences how best the soils are managed.

Accordingly, the soils of Ruba-Chemiet irrigation project are dominated with loam textured soils. For detail we can see in the soil report.

## c. Socio economic

The construction of the Ruba-Chemiet pump irrigation scheme will provide a regulated water supply for irrigation. Both agricultural and non-agricultural benefits are identified as arising from new opportunities created by the changed crop varieties and the increased productivity throughout the year. With the project completed, the fullseason irrigable area is expected to be 102 hectares.

According to the Tabia administrations the total population of the study area is 62,150 (in 2006 E.C.) 33,554 (54\%are male and 28,596 (46\%) are female. Moreover, there are 12,347 households of whom 3,158 female headed households and 9,189 male headed households. The population data shows the average family size 4.1 in the project area. The project can irrigate 102 hectares of land under dry season irrigation and expect to benefit for 222 farm households, $23 \%$ are female headed households and $77 \%$ are male headed household, assuming that each farm household can have 0.25 hectare of land under the proposed irrigation project. For detail we can see in the socio economy report.

## d. Environmental impact assessment

The proposed Ruba-Chemiet pump irrigation project has been assessed in accordance with possible Ethiopia (National) \& Regional Environmental Impact Assessment guidelines. The project site is selected by investigating different locations along RubaChemiet river course based on its geological stability, capacity to irrigate 102ha area, low adverse environmental impacts and low construction cost. The project has both positive and negative environmental and socioeconomic impacts, but the positive impacts more crucial than the negative once making the proposed project acceptable. For detail we can see in the EIA report.

## e. Geological formation of the project area

The investigation process has been involved sound geological knowledge for visual inspection to define the surface geologic and engineering properties of the supporting ground. Test pitting and sample collection have been conducted for sub-surface investigation. Six (6) test pits varying in depth from 2 to 4 meters' dip have been opened on pump, pond and canal foundations. Longitudinal and transverse geologic and engineering geologic profile mapping along the chosen canal routes have been prepared and depths of cut and heights of embankments were determined. Soil samples have been taken for laboratory test to determine necessitated design parameters. For detail we can see in the engineering geology report.

## 2. General Approach

### 2.1 Material used

The following materials were used during the study:

- 14.1pixDigital camera
- GPS (Datum: ADINDAN)
- Tape meter ( 5 m and 50 m );
- Digital Elevation Model (30 m resolution);
- Mosaic Land sat Image northern Ethiopia;
- Different software's like GIS (Arc GIS), Global Map per, Google earth and AutoCAD.


### 2.2 Methods Used

The methodologies used during the study were:

- Meetings with each profession they have work and compile it the to make to one solid feasibility study
- Conducting intensive office work
- And field detail investigations works


## i. Office Work

In the office work activities that are carried out:

- Review of previous studies conducted around the project area.
- Review of available topographic feature and DEM data which enabled to select possible structures site location and pipe routes.
- Interpretation of topographic variables ( 30 m resolution DEM) in order to understand the overall terrain conditions.


## ii. Field Work

The following activities were carried out in the field

- Identified the possible intake location for Ruba-Chemiet from the morphological, hydrological, engineering, and geological point of view:
- Pipe line and canal detail route survey from the raw water intake up to command peak places of the irrigable area.
- Topo survey of command areas for the Ruba-Chemiet pump irrigation system project that could be benefited or included in the project.


### 2.3 Results of office and field work

Based on the clients (BOWR) demand detailed feasibility is made on the development of pump irrigation system in the Ruba-Chemiet pump irrigation system project. In this section the results and the feasibility outcomes will be presented and discussed in detail. In addition, the possibilities of developing pump irrigation system and continue for the detailed design of the project will be evaluated.

After performing intensive office and field work, outputs and result of the different aspects of pump irrigation system: location and detail survey of pumping station site, reservoir pound, main pipe line routes, surface canals (main and branch) route, project area draft design feasibility designs are done and presented below.

### 2.3.1 Topographical Survey of the Project area

Topographic survey (command survey) has been conducted during the pre-feasible study to know the size of the available command and to understand the topographic features on which the pipe lines and canals route is going to be aligned as per the TOR given by the client (BoWR). Such as Topographic survey of pump stations and irrigable areas surveyed and a general topo-map shows all engineering features of the project such as command area, access road and so on with appropriate scale should be produced. The survey used as a base data for the subsequent activities and includes:

- The location of the existing structures, roads, buildings etc.
- All bench marks, stations and natural features.
- The maximum command area by.


## 3. Head Work

The irrigation is to be undertaken by pumping water from the Ruba-chemiet River channel. To make the irrigation system as efficient as possible, proper planning and design of the pumping station is vital. To this effect field visit was undertaken to get data and information mainly on the following areas:
$>$ Appropriate pumping site identification and selection
> Topographic map preparation for the irrigation system design of head work structures and the irrigation system layout
$>$ Geological and geotechnical investigations for foundation stability, river bank conditions, construction materials etc.
> Hydrological assessments, mainly interview of the local elder people as regard to flooding conditions during the rainy season and during of river flows in the year.

### 3.1. Pump

### 3.1.1. General

Pump is generally a device which drives irrigation water to the command area by pressure. The small scale pumping irrigation is one of the modern irrigation developments techniques which considered practical to take as a main strategy for Improving, Expanding and introducing the Modern Irrigation substituting the traditional ways of irrigating and to make sustainable development the agro-Irrigation sector and try to upgrade the productivity Incrementing the farmers Income Upgrading these natural Indigenous Knowledge maximizing the use of possible Arable lands. The possible existing water resources, for irrigating can be practically exercised to develop in purposes to insure, the food security across the Region and Nation.

### 3.1.2. Small Scale Pumping Irrigation

The present family based pumping irrigation facility is one of the most efficient and simple to operate and manage Irrigation techniques for small farmers plot development to make sustainable and profitable sector with clear vision of the strategies. The pumping proposal strategies for small farming; now a day is one of the most considered development Ideas for community based Irrigation Which Targeted to make productive and Sustainable Irrigation sectors at medium farming level. Therefore, the main considered area to cover with present filed based application related to pump are on that community based farming sectors which traditionally classified as an area that difficulties to cover in irrigation and drainage techniques a cause of the topographical and water resources location to deliver; but the locality is considered which are potential in natural subsurface, surface water recourse and farm lands. In addition to this, it's very Important for farmers to Introduced such kinds of technologies of facility with helpful trainings and discussion focusing on awareness creation to make capable and interested to transfer the mentioned farming systems is considered non sustainable at all level and it is difficult to overcome the food shortages and drought prone- problems in general.

According to the above indicated main core summery this report presents the design of community based pumping irrigation in the area of Werie Leke with specific site name Ruba chemhit.


Figure 2:Arrangements of Sump and Pump Seat

### 3.1.3. Raising Main line and gravity main line

## I. Route selection

The first step in designing a raising main is to select the route and prepare a contour map that shows elevation, surface configurations and distance from the source. The routes for the raising mains have been selected by considering the shortest possible distance with minimal obstacle and less social and environmental disturbance. Fortunately, the areas between the source and the delivery point along the selected route have no residence and it will not make any social and environmental complexity. The total length of the conveyance from the pump house up to the pond station structure is around 1332 m .

## II. Material Selection

In selecting the type of material and pipe size to be used one should consider carrying capacity, durability, maintenance cost, first cost, availability of the pipe material, ease of construction and repair. The character of the water and its potential effect upon pipe of different materials is an important consideration as well. Buried piping for water transmission must resist internal pressure, external loads, differential settlements and corrosive action of both soils and potentially the water it carries. The pipe materials
most often used for water conveyance include plastic, galvanized iron pipe, cast iron and asbestos cement. Among these pipes cast iron is used in most large transmission lines because of its size availability. However, due to the presence of the material and easy in construction, for this project HDP pipe is selected. Therefore, HDP pipe is selected.

## III. Size of Discharge Line

The rising mains and gravity main is aligned across the contour from lower elevation to higher one. The rising and gravity line designed to be effective pipe having a capacity to discharge $152.07 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec}$. Therefore, from economic considerations the flow velocities through raising mains are normally kept $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $2.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. Hence taking a flow velocity of $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ the

$$
D=1.128 * \sqrt{\frac{Q}{V}}
$$

Where, $D=$ Diameter of pipe
$\mathrm{Q}=$ discharge flow of the pipe line (1.57 lit/sec/ha *97 ha=152.07 lit/sec=0.15207 $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ )
$\mathrm{V}=$ recommended flow velocity $(2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec})$

$$
D=1.128 * \sqrt{\frac{0.15207}{2}}=0.311 m
$$

Then diameter of the pipe will be 315 mm for the rising main and for the gravity outlet form pond to the canal and gravity pipe line, whereas for the gravity pipe line having a discharge of $0.021 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{sec}$ the pipe is:

$$
D=1.128 * \sqrt{\frac{0.021}{2}}=0.115 \mathrm{~m}=125 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

## IV. Trench, Supporting pillars and anchorages

The trench width must be greater enough to provide room to join the pipe sections and install required fittings. Clearance of 150 mm on either side is normally adequate. This requires a trench width of about 650 mm for 315 mm pipe $\phi$. The trench width must be increased at joints and fittings. An extra width of 250 mm on either side should be provided.

Covers (backfill) provide protection against traffic loads and other environmental factor and it varies depending on the local condition. Here the raising main is laid on communal grazing areas and hence, a minimum cover of 20 cm should be provided. An extra depth of 150 mm should be provided at joints and fittings. In rock formation the trench should be cut to a level at least 150 mm below the final grade of the pipe and a cushion of sand or clean bedding material should be placed between the rock and the pipe. Back material should be free of cinders, refuse, and large stones. Careful backfilling decreases the load on the pipe and will decrease the probability of failure. Fortunately, the selected pipe route has no significant up and downs that demand the provision of supporting pillars and anchorages.

### 3.1.4. Pump Selection and its hydraulic design

### 3.1.4.1. Selection of pump

The key requirement in pump selection and design of pump systems for typical irrigation installation is that there is a correspondence between the requirements of the irrigation system and the maximum operating efficiency of the pump. The requirements of the irrigation system are the flow rates and pressure output. The maximum operating efficiency of the pump can be obtained from the performance characteristics curve of the pump. But before matching the system requirement and the performance characteristics curve of the pump selection has to be done on the type of pump. Because pumps used in irrigation system are available in a wide variety of pressure and discharge configurations and with different operating principles and requirements.

The total operating head includes the static head, the suction head and the head losses. The static and suction head can be obtaining from the pipe profile. Whereas the head losses that include the frictional head loss and minor losses may be estimated by using appropriate models. To estimate the frictional head loss, the Hazen-William's equation is used, because of:

- For smooth pipes like HDPE, PVC to calculate the frictional loss Hazen-William's equation is good and,

The equation has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J=1.31 \times 10^{\wedge} 11 \times(Q / C)^{1.852} \times D^{-4.87} \\
& J=\left(H_{d} / L\right) \times 100
\end{aligned}
$$

Where:
J - head loss in meters per 100-meter length of pipe, or in percent of the pipe length
Q - the discharge [m3/hr]
C - Coefficient of friction loss in the pipe. Practically it varies in the range of 130-160 depending on the smoothness of the pipe inner wall. (take 150)

D - the inner pipe diameter [mm]
L - length of pipe [m]
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ - head loss [m]
The pump house floor level for pump station is at: $526737 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{E}, 1548921 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~N}$ and at 1716.00 m m.a.s. 1 and the end of the rising main is at: $525599.82 \mathrm{mE}, 1549420.99 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~N}$ and 1773m m.a.s.l. Hence, the static head between the pumping station and the stabilizing pond is $=1773.00-1717.5=55.5 \mathrm{~m}$.

In order to estimate the frictional head loss through the raising (supply) main based on the above equations, parameters like the pipe roughness coefficient $C$, the inner
diameter of the pipe $D$, the flow discharge $Q$, and the length of the supply main are required. For the selected pipe the roughness coefficient C is 150 .

Using the capacity of the supply main and size of HDPE pipe outer diameter 315 mm determined above and the inner pipe diameter is 277.94 mm at 17 SDR and also from the topographic survey, the lengths of the supply mains is 1332 m .

Hence, $\mathrm{J}=1.31 \times 10^{\wedge} 11 \times(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{C})^{1.852} \times \mathrm{D}^{-4.87}$
Substituting all the known values:
$\mathrm{J}=1.31 * 10^{\wedge} 11^{*}(547.45 / 150)^{\wedge} 1.852^{*} 277.94^{\wedge}-4.87$
$\mathrm{J}=1.81 \%$

But, $\mathrm{J}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{fd}} / \mathrm{L}\right) * 100$ :
hence: $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{fd}}=\left(\mathrm{J}^{*} \mathrm{~L}\right) / 100=\left(1.81^{*}(1338)\right) / 100=24.218 \mathrm{~m}$

In designing the size of the suction pipe the hydraulic gradient should be maintained to generate velocities which are neither so small as to require large size diameter pipe, nor so large as to cause excessive loss of pressure head. The velocity should be non-silting and non-scouring.

Lifting capacity of the suction pipe is determined as shown below:

$$
Q=\pi \frac{D_{S}^{2} V_{S}}{4} \rightarrow D_{S}=\left(\frac{4 * Q}{\pi * V_{S}}\right)^{0.5}
$$

Where Ds = Suction pipe diameter (m)
Vs = Velocity in the suction pipe (m/s). (USBR recommends to keep the velocity in the suction pipe to be $0.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ or less. Hence take $\mathrm{Vs}=0.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ).
$Q=$ Discharge, $0.076 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$.
Hence, substituting all the known values,
$Q=\pi \frac{D_{S}{ }^{2} V_{S}}{4} \rightarrow D_{S}=\left(\frac{4 * 0.076}{\pi * 0.9}\right)^{0.5}=327.89 \mathrm{~mm}=$ we will take 355 mm
The suction pipe diameters will be 355 mm HDPE pipe having a length of 6 m .
The common values for impeller speed of pumps are 1800 and 3500rpm. Considering critical time water levels at the intake and topographic conditions (Suction water level at the river bed for both conditions), a static head of 57.00 m is available for this case. The total operating head of the pump including the friction losses at the delivery and suction pipes, minor losses and velocity head calculated as:

For pump station: $\mathrm{Ht}=\mathrm{Hs}+\mathrm{Hd}+\mathrm{Hf}+\mathrm{Hm}$

Where, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$-total head at the pump (m)
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$-suction head
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d} \text {-static }}$ head (m)
$H_{f}$-energy lost to pipe friction loss between pump station to reservoir pond (m)
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$-minor losses (energy lost in fitting and valves), (m)

Then: $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{Hs}+\mathrm{Hd}+\mathrm{Hf}+\mathrm{Hm}$

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}=4+55.5+24.218+3=86.718 \mathrm{~m}
$$

### 3.1.4.2. Power required

Finally, the power of the pump can be estimated using the following equation:
Water horse power(HP)=(Q*H)/270* $\eta$
Where $\mathrm{P}=$ power HP
$\mathrm{Q}=$ capacity (flow rate or discharge) $\mathrm{m} 3 / \mathrm{hr}$
$\mathrm{H}=$ Total dynamic head (m)
$\eta=$ Pump \& Motor efficiency
$\mathrm{P}=\left(547.45^{*} 86.718\right) /\left(270^{*} 0.7\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{P}=251.18 \mathrm{HP} \\
& \text { Pump power in } \mathrm{Kw}=0.743^{*} \mathrm{HP} \\
& \\
& =0.743^{*} 251.518 \mathrm{HP} \\
& \\
& =187.00 \mathrm{Kwatt}
\end{aligned}
$$

However, for getting performance curves of different pumps an efficiency of $70 \%$ is assumed (Pump efficiency usually range from 60 to $85 \%$ (H.S.Peavy, 1985)) and the power of the pump has been calculated. Substituting all the values, the required power will be 190.00 KW for pump station. Since for single pump the discharge is high, there will be two pump operate parallel having a discharge capacity of $273.73 \mathrm{M}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ and 86.718 m delivery head and the power capacity 93.5 Kwatt.

Taking an impeller speed of 1800rpm and using the discharge and total operating heads leads to the calculation of the specific speed. The specific speed is

$$
N_{s}=0.2108 * N \frac{Q^{0.5}}{H^{0.75}}
$$

When N is the rotation of the impeller in rev/min,
Q is the flow rate in $\mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$
$H$ is the pump head (total operating head) in $m$

- $1.57 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec} /$ ha duty per 14-hour per day
- 97ha net irrigable area

River flow can be pump $152.07 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec}\left(0.152 .07 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right.$ or $9124.2 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{min}$ by the two pumps.
$N s=\left(0.2108^{*} 1800^{*}\left(4562.105^{\wedge} 0.5\right)\right) /\left(86.718^{\wedge} 0.75\right)$
$\mathrm{Ns}=901.86=$ take 902.00

The required water by pump station is $9124.21 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{min}(1.57 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{ha*} 97 \mathrm{ha*} 60 \mathrm{~min})$. From proper operation and management of maintenance considerations two pumps at the station is provided with the indicated discharge capacity. The pumps operate parallel. Thus, a pump works for 14 hours where, the actual velocity of flow through the rising mains is $2 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ for the station.

These specific speed values indicate that a centrifugal pump is found to be the appropriate type of pump for the system. Indeed, centrifugal pumps are used in applications requiring high heads but limited discharge. The discharge limitation can be easily managed by providing pumps working in parallel.

### 3.1.4.3. Net Positive Suction Head

Every pump has its own minimum net positive suction head requirement (NPSHr). This requirement is described by the pump manufacturer in the characteristics curve of the pump. In the absence of such characteristic curve the suction lift is determined based on the general considerations. Net positive suction head available (NPSHA) is the head available above vapor pressure head to move a liquid into the impeller unit of the pump. The value is specific to each pump inlet design. It is independent of the suction piping system. It is necessary to ensure that the NPSHA exceeds the NPSHR to prevent cavitation. The following equation is used to compute NPHSA:

NPSH available = available suction head - atmospheric pressure head- vapor pressure head - suction head losses $=$ all are determined by local condition
NPSHa = На - Hs - Hvp - Hf

Where:

- $\mathrm{Ha}=$ the atmospheric pressure head on the surface of the liquid or in the sump $(\mathrm{m})=8.276$ for the elevation around 1717.5 m m.a.s.l using a standard table.

Where-Ha=10.337-(Elevation*0.36/300)

- Hs = static suction head of liquid. This is height of the surface of the liquid above the centerline of the pump impeller $(\mathrm{m})=4 \mathrm{~m}$
- Hf $=$ total friction losses in the suction line $(\mathrm{m})=0.045 \mathrm{~m}$. (The value is determined by taking 4 m length of suction pipe).
- $\operatorname{Hvp}=$ the vapor pressure head of the liquid at the operating temperature (m) $=0.22 \mathrm{~m}$ for $18.87^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ average temperature on the area from standard table.

The vapor pressure of a liquid is the absolute pressure at which the liquid vaporizes or converts into a gas at a specific temperature. The vapor pressure of a liquid increases with its temperature. For this reason, the temperature should be specified for a declared vapor pressure.

Substituting all the values as below, the NPSHa will be:
NPSHa for station-1 $=8.276-4-0.22-0.108=3.948 \mathrm{~m}$
Hence, the actual pump should have less value of suction head to prevent cavitation phenomenon.

### 3.1.4.4. Flood Height and Elevation of Pump Centre Line

Surveying work was done for the command area and the pumping station. Considering the flood level and the suction head requirement, the following is proposed:

## Pumping Station Ruba-Chemiet:

$\checkmark$ Pump floor elevation $=1717.5 \mathrm{~m}$ m.a.s.l.
$\checkmark$ Pump center line elevation $=1718.00 \mathrm{~m}$ m.a.s.l, (which is 0.5 m above the house floor elevation).
$\checkmark$ Minimum water level at the sump $=1713.5 \mathrm{~m}$
$\checkmark$ The maximum flood height is estimated to be 1715.35masl.
$\checkmark$ Need of flood protection structure $=$ No need for the pump house.
$\checkmark$ Total suction head $=4 \mathrm{~m}$ (for detail see pump station drawing)

Table 3:Summary of pumping system for Ruba-Chemiet

| Parameter | Ruba-Chemiet <br> pump Station |
| :--- | :--- |
| Altitude (masl) | 1717.5 |
| Mean Temperature (0c) | 18.87 |
| Atmospheric pressure (m) | 8.276 |
| Vapor Pressure (m) | 0.22 |
| Total Discharge (l/s) | 152.07 |
| Diameter of supply <br> line(mm) | 315 |
| Flow Velocity (m/s) | 2 |
| Length of supply line(m) | 1332 |
| Head loss including suction <br> (m) | 24.218 |
| Suction Head (m) | 4 |
| Static Head (m) | 55.5 |
| Hm-minor losses (energy <br> lost in fitting and valves | 2 |
| Total Dynamic head (m) | 86.718 |
| Number of pumps provided | 2 |
| Discharge of one unit (l/s) | 76.00 |
| Pump impeller speed (rpm) | 1800 |
| Specific speed | 902.00 |
| Recommended type of <br> pump | Centrifugal |
| NPSHr(m) | 3.948 |
| Power (KW) (for two pump) | 187.00 |

### 3.1.4.5. Design of Pumping Station

Pumping station here is used to mean the total pumping and water handling facility including the building for pumping equipment, inflow facilities, discharge facilities, flow conduits, retaining walls, and other appurtenant structures and facilities. This section deals with the planning and design of the pumping station for the project. Consists of a pumping station 15 m apart from the main river. The following are the main components of the pumping station.

- The sump well
- Suction pipes and accessories
- Pump house and equipment in the house
- Delivery pipe and accessories
- Retaining walls
- Earth works and accessibility works to the pump house
i. Suction Pipe and Controls

For the sump well, two suction pipes suck the water to the pumps. The suction pipe has a length of around 6 m and the suction lift height (maximum) is fixed to be 4 m . Please refer to the drawing for the general arrangement of the pipes. The material of the suction pipe is selected to be HDPE.

## ii. Water Intake from the Sump Well

A sump wells and sedimentation tanks with trash rack fitted at the entrance to the sump well to prevent entry of large debris into the suction pipe and not interfere during pumping has been provided. The minimum water surface elevation in the river is kept at the river bed for the pumping station. Minimum horizontal pounding area will be provided to permit adequate spacing of pumps and intake systems and to provide adequate space for installation of discharge and suction lines and associated equipment and flows to the pump.

For the detail of elevation regarding for the pump house setting and other accessories, please refer section 3.4.1.4 of this report.

## iii. Provision of trash rack

The water entering to the pump should be screened before reaching the pumps. Conventional bar screens (trash racks) are the preferred method of screening. Trash racks should be located to allow incoming flows to pass through the rack before reaching the pump intake.

For this particular intake, a bar screen consisting steel strips (bars) spaced at 100 mm shall be used. Therefore, trash racks of 100 mm by 100 mm opening are provided to the river face the pump. (See the drawing for details).

## iv. Design of Settling Basins

The settling basin placed right side of the bank of the intake canal for removal of sediments. It consists of an enlarged section of the channel where the flow velocity is sufficiently low so that the sediment settles on the bed. The settled sediment may be removed by flushing or by manual desilting. In this case, manual desilting of the basin is recommended because of topographic limitations. The design of the basin is expected to remove as much silt load and consequently as low fraction of sediment load as is economically and hydraulically possible. An approximate design of the settling basin is carried out considering the fall velocities of sediment particles are the same at any point in the basin. In both cases, let the settling basin will be used to settle a sediment particle having a mean diameter greater than or equal to 0.1 mm . The corresponding fall velocity $(w)$ to this sediment particle will be $6 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}(0.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$ (from standard curves). The design discharge is $152.07 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec}\left(547.45 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}\right)$.

The fall velocity may then be obtained as: $t=L / V=H / w_{o}, \quad V=Q / H^{*} B$ and $L^{*} B=Q / w_{o}$

Where: $\mathrm{t}=$ fall time, $\mathrm{V}=$ mean flow velocity, $\mathrm{L}=$ Effective length of the settling basin, $\mathrm{H}=$ depth of the settling basin, $\mathrm{Q}=$ Design discharge, $\mathrm{B}=$ width of the settling basin and $w=$ fall velocity of a particle

These relationships will provide the main dimensions of the basin where all particles with fall velocity equal or larger than " $w$ " will settle may be derived. Based on the previous assumptions the following will hold true:
$\mathrm{V}=0.14 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ (permissible velocity in the chamber)

Let $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B}$ and L be the dimensions of settling basin
For this case, permissible velocity in the basin is fixed according to Camp, T.R. using the following relation: $V=a \sqrt{d}$

Where $V=$ flow velocity in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$
$d=$ diameter of particle up to which sediment load is desired to be removed (mm)
$\mathrm{a}=$ constant which is
0.36 for, $\mathrm{d}>1 \mathrm{~mm}, 0.44$ for $1 \mathrm{~mm}>\mathrm{d}>0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 0.51 for $0.1 \mathrm{~mm}>\mathrm{d}$

The discharge coming from the intake is $0.15207 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$. The objective of the settling basin is to accumulate a sediment particle having a diameter greater than or equal to a mean diameter of 0.10 mm . The corresponding fall velocity w will be $6 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}(0.06 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s})$.
$V_{c r}=a \sqrt{d_{e}}=0.44 \sqrt{0.5}=0.311 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$
If the depth of the basin is fixed 1 m , then the length of the Basin will be:
$L=\frac{h^{*} V}{\omega}=\frac{1 * 31.1}{6}=5.2 m \cong 5 m$
The width of the basin will be: $b=\frac{Q}{h^{*} V}=\frac{0.15207}{1 * 0.311}=0.488 \mathrm{~m} \cong 0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ and we will take 1 m Conclusion: provide 5 m basin length, 1 m depth and 1 m basin width for settling basin.

## v. Design of the Sump wells

The size of the sump may affect the selection of size and number of pumps with regard to the minimum desirable operating cycle.

For pumping station from ponding areas, the maximum water surface elevation of the sump will be fixed by the maximum permissible ponding elevation. The minimum water surface elevation in the sump is determined by the hydraulic and protection requirements of the protected area and economic considerations.

Minimum horizontal sump area will be that required to permit adequate spacing of pumps and intake systems to provide adequate space for installation of discharge and suction lines and associated equipment and flows to the pump. If it is found important to provide more area for storage, it is possible to increase the horizontal area. In this case, the dimensions of the well are purely decided based on engineering judgment. A well with depth 2 m (from minimum river bed level), width 5.0 m and length 3.0 m is provided for both cases. For detail of the sedimentation tanks and sump wells, please refer the working drawings. The usable sump volume in cubic meters should equal or exceed twice the volume pumped in one minute. This ensures adequate size to dissipate inflow turbulence.
vi. Submergence Depth and other sump well parameters

The minimum height of water above the pump intake bowl or volute, S , should be the higher of that required by the manufacturer for net positive suction head and the height determined using the following Equation, which is based on USBR Hydraulic Institute standards.

$$
S=D+\frac{c_{u} Q_{p}}{D^{1.5}}
$$

Where:
$S=$ height from lowest pumping level to pump bell/volute, $m$
$\mathrm{D}=$ outside diameter of pump bell, 0.355 m
$\mathrm{Cu}=$ unit conversion coefficient $=0.935$
$\mathrm{Qp}=$ individual pump capacity, $0.076 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$
Substituting all the known values, $\mathrm{S}=0.69 \mathrm{~m}$, say 0.70 m
Table 4:Design criteria for the sump wells and other parameters

| Param |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| eter | 年 | Description |
| :--- |

## 4. Reservoir pond

1) Pond Types

Depending on the source of water and their location with respect to the land surface, in our case pond type is dug out (excavated) ponds:

- Dug out (excavated) ponds are excavated at the site and the soil obtained by excavation is used to construct embankment around the pond.
- The pond could be fed by pumping through rising main line from river.
- Excavated ponds is the simplest to build.


## 2) Site selection

Site selection and placement of the pond are critical to the success of the pond. Selection of the location for a pond has a major importance in reducing time, labors and expense involved in construction and maintenance. The primary use of the pond is important factor to consider in pond location. Irrigation ponds should be located near to the command irrigable area. The site for the pond is to be selected keeping in view of the following considerations.

- The site should be such that largest storage volume is available with the least amount of excavation.
- Large areas of shallow water should be avoided as these will cause excessive evaporation losses and also cause waterweeds to grow.
- The site should not cause excessive seepage losses.
- The pond should be located as near as possible to the area where the water will be used.


## 3) Topography

- Excavated ponds are satisfactory and most commonly used in areas of comparatively flat but well drained terrain.
- A site with largest storage volume with least amount of excavation is preferred.
- The slope of the site is preferred to be less than $3 \%$.
- The topography of the site should be suitable for construction of spill way (if there is a need) and is should be suitable to use the stored water easily.


## 4) Foundation of ponds

The dominant formation orientation in the project area is vertical and near vertical, but in the test pit that opened near the pond is near horizontal bedding. During the logging of the pit, there were vertical intercalation contacts with calcareous (carbonated) silt. The foundation will not have a problem for supporting the load. The problem with the rock is will have an affinity for water loss, because of the discontinuities in the rock. The excavation workability for the pond 1 and near the pond has described as medium excavation.

## 5) Pond Capacity and design

The design procedure of the pond is based on the minimum time that the pond to reserve after the power off during operation. The calculation of the pond is based on inverted frustum of trapezoidal shape. All the steps \& design outputs are tabulated in table 6.

Design data for the reservoir pond:
Since the aim of the reservoir pond is to reserve for a few of time in case of pump maintenance and take reserving time is for 30 minute ( 0.5 hour)

Time for water flow $(\mathrm{T})=30 \mathrm{~min}=1800 \mathrm{sec}$
Water flow in to the pond $(Q)=0.15207 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
$V=T x Q$
$\mathrm{V}=1800 \mathrm{sec}^{*} 0.152 .07 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
$\mathrm{V}=274 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$
Dead storage 20\% V
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}-\mathrm{sto}=\mathrm{V}^{*} 0.2$
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}$-sto $=274^{*} 0.2$
$\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{D}}$-sto $=55$
$\mathrm{V}_{\text {Total }}=329 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$


Figure 3:Reservoir detention pond
Table 5:the reservoir pond dimension and its capacity

| Description | Value | Remark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Peak live storage Capacity, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {live }}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{t}$ | 274 m 3 |  |
| Minimum storage capacity including $20 \%$ for dead storage, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {req }}\left(\mathrm{m}^{3}\right)=$ | 329 m 3 |  |
| Allowed dead storage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {req }}-\mathrm{V}_{\text {live }}$ | 55 m 3 |  |
| Depth of water to be stored for irrigation/live storage, $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ | 2 |  |
| Depth of dead storage, $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ | 0.2 |  |
| Freeboard, FB | 0.3 |  |
| Therefore, total height of storage structure, H | 2.5 |  |
| Assume a square inverted frustum shape |  |  |
| Internal side slope of Storage, $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{V})$ | 2.0 |  |


| External side slope of Storage, m (H: V) | 3.0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed length and width of Storage |  |  |
| Bottom width of Storage, w | 8.0 | Taken |
| Bottom length of Storage, 1 | 9.5 | Taken |
| Storage width to Top of pond level, $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{w}+2 \mathrm{mH}$ i.e. Crest width | 18.0 |  |
| Storage length to Top of pond level, $\mathrm{L}=1+2 \mathrm{mH}$ i.e. Crest length | 19.5 |  |
| Storage width to Top of stored water surface level, B | 17 |  |
| Storage length to Top of stored water surface level, b | 18 |  |
| Designed capacity of the storage |  |  |
| Pond size is computed from, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cal }}=\mathrm{H} / 3^{*}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{t}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{SQRT}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right.$ ) |  |  |
| Where, $\mathrm{V}=$ Volume of the pond, $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{At}=$ Pond top Area $=\mathrm{LxW}, \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 351 |  |
| $\mathrm{Ab}=$ Pond bottom area $=1 \times \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 76 |  |
| $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cal }}=\mathrm{H} / 3$ * ( $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{SQRT}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ ) | 373 |  |
| Check that $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cal }}>\mathrm{V}_{\text {req }}$ | OK | This needs to be "Ok" |
| Thus, provide a pond with $8 \times 9.5 \mathrm{~m}$ bottom and corresponding crest size of $18 \times 19.5 \mathrm{~m}$ for storing 0.5 hour |  |  |
| Top width of Water surface, $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{w}+2 \mathrm{md}$ | 16.8 |  |
| Top length of Water surface, $\mathrm{b}=1+2 \mathrm{md}$ | 18.3 |  |
| Water surface Area, $A_{w}=b^{*} B$ | 307 |  |
| Volume of stored water, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{d} / 3$ * $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{SQRT}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{w}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right.$ ) | 296 | Including dead storage |
| Top width of dead storage, $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}=\mathrm{w}+2 \mathrm{md}{ }^{\prime}$ | 8.8 |  |
| Top length of dead storage, $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}=1+2 \mathrm{md}{ }^{\prime}$ | 10.3 |  |
| Water surface Area, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{b}^{\prime *} \mathrm{~B}^{\prime}$ | 91 |  |
| Volume of dead storage, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{d}^{\prime} / 3$ * $\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{SQRT}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)\right)$ | 12 |  |
| Thus, computed volume of live storage $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cs}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{w}}-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}$ | 284 |  |
| Check that $\mathrm{V}_{\text {cs }}{ }^{3} \mathrm{~V}_{\text {live }}$ | OK | This needs to be "Ok" |

## 5. Canal Design

### 5.1. Irrigation net work

### 5.1.1. Introduction

Canal network is designed to convey irrigation water from gravity main line to fields and to deliver it as equitably as possible, matching the supply closely to the water requirements of crops in the command, during all stages of crop growth. A network of surface drains is designed to drain out excess water (due to rainfall or irrigation) from fields and to covey it safely to natural drains, by integrating it in the conveyance network. Surface / subsurface drains are to be provided in the command area where deep black soils (Vertisol) having non drainable substrata is met with. A network of roads along canals \& subsidiary canals is established to ensure satisfactory operation and maintenance of the irrigation \& drainage network and to facilitate transport of required inputs for irrigated agriculture (seeds, fertilizers, insecticides, farm equipment etc.) and to transport agricultural produce to the markets.

Objective of the design of the irrigation water conveyance facility to have performs at an optimum operational efficiency so that it is;

- Functionally capable to deliver irrigation water to crops \& drain out surplus water within overall technical feasibility of the entire system.
- Economically viable by keeping overall cost of the system within the financial norms/yardsticks.
- Amenable for easy operation and maintenance at present, as well as in future.
- Socially acceptable to the mindset of the cultivator, who is going to utilize the irrigation water (as a primary input) to achieve higher productivity through irrigated agriculture.


### 5.1.2. Canal System

A canal can be defined as an open channel excavated to the required cross section in natural earth or formed by compacted fill; with or without special treatment (lining) to the wetted surface. The canal system is made of the following components:

- Primary canal or the Secondary (in our case) off-taking from the reservoir and the gravity main line.
- Secondary Canal (also called the Branch/Distributaries) off-taking from the primary canal. It generally runs along sub ridges.
- Tertiary Canal (also called the Minor) off-taking from the secondary canal.
- Quaternary Canal or the watercourse off-taking from an irrigation outlet, generally catering to a block of 10 to 20 ha .
- Field Channel

The entire planning process for the layout of the canal system is divided into two parts -
$\rightarrow$ Macro planning for primary, secondary and tertiary canals
$>$ Micro planning for tertiary, quaternary and field channels.
Tertiary canals lie at the interface of macro and micro planning and are thus common to both.

The planning for the canal layout will require the preparation of the complete layout for macro irrigation system from primary to tertiary canals for the entire command area on large scale topographic maps. The planning for micro irrigation system inside the command of tertiary canal for quaternary canals and field channels is done on topographic map of scale, 1:1000 with contour interval of 0.50 m .

### 5.1.3. Command Area

Area commanded by canal is classified as
$>$ GCA (Gross Command Area) - Total geographical area over which the canal water can flow by gravity is 222.60 ha .
$>$ CCA (Cultivated Command Area)- It covers all area within the command on which cultivation is possible i.e. including fallow land \& pastures but excluding area under villages, ponds, forest, stream courses, rocky outcrops, marshy land etc. is 102.00ha
> Net Irrigable Area (NIA)/Net CCA/Service Area - It is CCA minus the area under subsidiary canals, water courses, field channels, surface drains, infrastructure roads etc.is 96.86ha.

### 5.1.4. Fixing Canal Capacities

The furrow surface irrigations adopt total project efficiency of $50 \%$ for design of canals considering not efficient of conveyance efficiency. However, this irrigation efficiency is expected to increase with time as they get well acquainted with the irrigation operation because of the partial of the conveyance is pressurized (surface pump irrigation system).

Water application efficiency adopted $=80 \%$
Conveyance efficiency $=90 \%$
Storage efficiency in root zone $=80 \%$

$$
\text { Total }=0.80 * 0.90 * 0.80=57 \%
$$

As the agronomical study report, the crop water requirement (duty) calculated is 1.57 liters per second per hectare. Canal capacity is fixed based on the command area that is delivered by the canal.

Canal discharge $=$ duty* irrigated area.
$\mathrm{Q}=1.57^{*}$ Irrigation interval day*A

### 5.1.5. Design of Open Canals (Canal Cross-Sections)

The design of open canals is concerned with the determination of the cross sectional dimensions of the canal to convey the required amount of discharge intended to meet the peak requirement of crops grown in the entire command area during the supplementary case and dry season cases.

The dimension of the channel can be calculated using the general formula of Manning's, which is given by:

$$
Q=\frac{1}{n} * A R^{2 / 3} S^{1 / 2}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{Q}=$ discharge of the channel

$$
\mathrm{R}=\text { Hydraulic radius }=\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{p}
$$

A $=$ Wetted cross-sectional area
$\mathrm{m}=$ side slope
$\mathrm{p}=$ wetted perimeter
s = bed slope
$\mathrm{n}=$ Manning's roughness coefficient
Normally the solution for $B$ and $d$ are obtained by trial and error. Also various monographs, tables and computer program are available to find the area.

According to the recommended value of the open channel design, canals that have a discharge value less than 200lit/sec, the bed width to depth of flow ratio shall be in the range 1 to 1.5 for clay and up to 1.6-2.0 for masonry. Therefore, taking $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d}$ ratio, which will lead as to attain the permissible non-scouring and non-silting velocity, the bed width and depth of canals have been computed by using the computer program tray and error and it is adopted $\mathrm{b} / \mathrm{d}$ ration is 2 .

As the geological formation along the canal routes is pervious, a rectangular masonry section is provided for the secondary canals as well as chute sections of the tertiary ones
(it is to construct sustainable structure) which does not involve any formwork and the beneficiaries can easily maintain it.

The thickness of the masonry lining depends on the height of the wall to retain the saturated earth pressure and available size of boulders. For the Secondary canal the thickness of wall is 30 cm in a mortar mix of 1:3

## 1. Design of the Secondary Canal

The Secondary canal is a section which is masonry work water transport from pipe line lines having of division box to tertiary canal. The design of the secondary canal capacity is based on the coverage of command area to be irrigated measured on the season to fulfill the need of water require of the command area.

## Design of Masonry Lined Canal Section

Design Parameters: Example of Secondary canal
coverage of area to be irrigate by one section of secondary canal, $\mathrm{A}=23$ ha

$$
\text { duty }=1.57 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{ha}
$$

Design discharge, $\mathrm{Q}=$ Duty*area

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =23 \mathrm{ha} * 1.57 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{ha} \\
& =36.11 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec} \approx 36 \mathrm{lit} / \mathrm{sec}
\end{aligned}
$$

Canal side slope, $\mathrm{m}=$ Vertical (rectangular canal), 0
Canal bed slope, So $\quad=1 / 500$
Free board, $\mathrm{Fb} \quad=0.2 \mathrm{~m}$
Manning's Roughness, $\mathrm{n}=0.017$ (for mortar plastered canal)


Figure 4:Cross -section of Masonry Lined Canal

Let $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{d}=2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=B^{*} d=2 d^{2} \\
& P=B+2 d=4 d \\
& R=A / P=2 d^{2} / 4 d=0.5^{*} d
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Manning's equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q=\frac{A}{n} * R^{2 / 3} * S^{1 / 2} \\
0.036=\left(2^{*} \mathrm{~d}^{2}\right) / 0.018^{*}(0.5 \mathrm{~d})^{2 / 3 *}(1 / 500)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

From which: $d=0.1937 \cong 0.19 \mathrm{~m}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=2^{*} 0.19=0.38 \mathrm{~m} \\
& \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{Fb}=0.39 \mathrm{~m}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Design of the Tertiary Canal

The tertiary canal is a section which is rectangular lined masonry water transport from secondary canal to field canals. The design of the tertiary canal capacity is based on the maximum command area to be irrigated.

## 3. Longitudinal Section of Canals

The longitudinal section along the canals is prepared with levels at intervals based on the natural topographic changes indicating the following details:

- Chainage
- Existing ground level (OGL)
- Canal bed level (CBL)
- Full supply level (FSL)
- Canal Top Bank level (CTBL)
- Bed slope, Bed width (S, B)
- Discharge, velocity ( $\mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{V}$ )
- Location of turnouts and drops

The longitudinal profile of the secondary and tertiary canals is shown in the drawings with canal section details.

Figure 5:Hydraulic Characteristic of secondary canal and tertiary Canal


## 6. Conclusions and recommendations

During field study, the team has observed that the farmers have some years of experience for irrigation by constructing traditional canal. Therefore, the team recommends the launching of integrated water use system for the river and hence, the designing of modern pump irrigation scheme is vital for scarce water management.

1. The pump house is located at relatively free from flood plains. However, regular monitoring is vital for the sustainability of the project
2. Pump characteristics curve match will be selected from manufacturer as per the design of pump head and discharge with the recommended efficiency pumps.
3. Part of the stilling basin is inside to the river bank by approach channel. Hence, regular removal of sediment deposition is necessary.
4. In this project, the operation period of one pump at the time is 14 hours per day. If there is a need to pump water for more additional time, the pump should be rested for some period in order to control the overheating of the pump.
5. During project construction, it should be based on the design document, if not possible to follow the design, it should be consulted the consultant to minimize the risk of failure.

## 7. Bill of quantity and Cost Estimation

Most of the quantity is incorporated in table below on the consultant's experience in the field work of draft design report of the feasibility study and the unit price taken from previous work take form bruh tesfa PLC for the pipeline and pump and also for canal from construction, Road and Transport Bureau.

|  | Ruba Chemit Pump Irrigation System Total BOQ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | General and Preparatory Cost for Ruba-Chemiet Pump irrigation system |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} / \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | Item description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | Qty | Unit price | Total Price |
| $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Moblization \& demoblization of machinery and equipments | LS | 1.00 | 80,000.00 | 80,000.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Construction of contractor's camp or temporary residence from G-32 CIS and that has to be handed over to the IWUA at the end of the construction. The rooms are well ventilated equipping with windows and doors of the same material as per given drawings. The camp should be constructed at the appropriate place for camping and IWUA purpose. | LS | 1.00 | 165,000.00 | 165,000.00 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install $2^{*} 1 \mathrm{~m}$ rectangular metal sign board with $60 * 60 * 3 \mathrm{~mm}$ RHS frame, 1.5 mm thick metal sheet at both sides and $2 Ø 4$ inch GS pipe post for the purpose of scheme information as specified by the Engineer. Price includes all necessary cutting, welding, painting, writing works on both sides \& C-10 concrete for foundation fill. | LS | 1.00 | 10,000.00 | 10,000.00 |
| Sub Total |  |  |  |  | 255,000.00 |
| 2 | Pump and Pipe Line |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} / \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | Item description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un } \\ \text { it } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Qty | Unit price | Total Price |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pump with Full Accessories Centrifugal Pump Type $273.73 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{hr}$ and 86.718 m Head having pump and motor efficiency $70 \%$ | pcs | 2 | 1408845.04 | 2817690.07 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | HDPE pipe $315 \mathrm{~mm} / 10 \mathrm{bar}$ | m | 1425 | 1423.00 | 2027775.00 |
| 2.0 3 | HDPE pipe $125 \mathrm{~mm} / 10$ bar | M | 970 | 242.00 | 234740.00 |
| 2.0 4 | HDPE Butt Weld Reducer 315mmX125mm | pcs | 1 | 17224.81 | 17224.81 |
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| $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | HDPE Butt Weld Tee 315 mmX 315 mm X315mm | pcs | 1 | 18062.20 | 18062.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.0 | HDPE Butt Weld Tee $125 \mathrm{mmX125mmX125mm}$ | pcs | 1 | 584.90 | 584.90 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 7 \end{array}$ | Butt Weld Elbow $900315 \mathrm{~mm} / 10 \mathrm{bar}$ | pcs | 4 | 16569.30 | 66277.20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.0 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Butt Weld Elbow $90^{\circ} 125 \mathrm{~mm} / 10 \mathrm{bar}$ | pcs | 2 | 775.12 | 1550.24 |
| 2.0 9 | Stub Flange with Backing Ring 355mm | pcs | 1 | 37470.24 | 37470.24 |
| 2.1 | Butter Fly Valve 315mm/10bar | pcs | 2 | 17611.01 | 35222.03 |
| 2.1 1 | Stub Flange with Backing Ring 125mm | pcs | 1 | 8841.13 | 8841.13 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Flanged Get Valve 125mm/10bar | pcs | 1 | 16048.00 | 16048.00 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Welding points for connection with 315 mm pipe | pcs | 246 | 400.00 | 98466.67 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Welding points for connection with 125 mm pipe | pcs | 108 | 125.00 | 13472.22 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excavation at all formation | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1761 | 121.52 | 213972.42 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Back fill with selected material | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 1191 | 60.76 | 72345.41 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 5679742.54 |
| 3 | Pump Station Civil Work |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Clearing the site to remove top soil at an average of 20 cm for pump station | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 11.2 | 12.15 | 136.08 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excavation of side river bank for construction of pump station | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 560 | 121.52 | 68051.20 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excavate for foundation trench for settling basin | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 13.75 | 121.52 | 1670.90 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ \hline 4 \end{array}$ | Back fill with selected material and Compacting | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 112.5 | 60.76 | 6835.50 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Basaltic stone or equivalent hardcore inside the wall compacted and blinded with crushed stone to a finished depth of equal to 30 cm height | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 20 | 100.30 | 2006.00 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 6 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 5 cm thick C-15 cement screed | $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ | 1.25 | 76.48 | 95.60 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 3.0 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 15 cm thick RC - concrete in class C-25 for base top slab as indicated on the drawing | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 7.5 | 2931.41 | 21985.58 |
| 3.8 | 15 cm thick RC - concrete in class C-25 for the retaining face of the River from the settling | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 4.8 | 2931.41 | 14070.77 |
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|  | basin |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.9 | Re-inforcement bar Ø 12 | KG | 164.61 | 41.43 | 6819.627574 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Re-inforcement bar Ø 10 | KG | 367.81 | 44.43 | 16341.65 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Re-inforcement bar Ø 6 | KG | 111.81 | 55.82 | 6241.05 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 164238.55 |
| 4 | BOQ and Quntity Pond Civil StructureWork |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} / \\ & \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | Item description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un } \\ \text { it } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Qty | Unit price | Total Price |
|  | Earth Work \& Concrete Work |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Clearing the site to remove top soil at an average of 20 cm for pump station | $\mathrm{m}^{3}$ | 84.46 | 12.15 | 1026.14 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | Normal Material excavation at Detention Pond up to the design grade and desired dimentions at all formation. | m3 | 296.70 | 121.52 | 36054.98 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Disposal of Surplus Excavated material as directed by the engineer | m3 | 381.16 | 144.47 | 55065.61 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Provide, fill and compact selected granular material at a minimum of $95 \%$ Procter density in layers of not greater than 300 mm thick for fill around the detention pond | m3 | 105.30 | 60.76 | 6398.03 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Provide and place 50mm thickness Class C-10 Concrete bedding below foundations. | m2 | 6.17 | 1731.90 | 10681.37 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 4.0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Provide and Place 15 cm thick Class C-25 Concrete for the Detention pond bed slab. | m3 | 19.65 | 2931.41 | 57602.21 |
| 6 4.0 7 | Re-inforcement bar Ø 10 | kg | 1006.84 | 44.43 | 44733.71 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 4.0 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Re-inforcement bar Ø 6 | kg | 191.05 | 55.82 | 10664.60 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 222226.65 |


| 5 | BOQ and Quntity of Canal Work for Ruba- Chemiet project |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} / \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | Item description | $\begin{gathered} \text { Un } \\ \text { it } \end{gathered}$ | Qty | Unit price | Total Price |
| 1 | Secondary Canal |  |  |  |  |
| 5.0 1 | Total Masonry Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 637.4256 \\ 515 \end{array}$ | 999.23 | 636934.8337 |
| 5.0 2 | Plastering Work | m2 | $\begin{array}{r} 1709.549 \\ 129 \end{array}$ | 106.72 | 182443.083 |
| 5.0 3 | Excavation Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 898.9364 \\ 786 \end{array}$ | 121.52 | 109238.7609 |
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| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | Back Fill | m3 | 84.192 | 60.76 | 5115.50592 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 933732.1835 |
| 2 | Tertiary Canal |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | Total Masonry Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 1496.462 \\ 46 \end{array}$ | 999.23 | 1495310.184 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | Plastering Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 4436.211 \\ 787 \end{array}$ | 106.72 | 473432.5219 |
| 5.0 <br> 7 | Excavation Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 1960.139 \\ 669 \end{array}$ | 121.52 | 238196.1725 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5.0 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | Back Fill | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 3.727745 \\ 618 \end{array}$ | 60.76 | 226.4978238 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 2207165.377 |
| 3 | Division Work (From PDB to Division Box of SC or TC) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.0 \\ 9 \end{array}$ | Excavation Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 19.13715 \\ 574 \end{array}$ | 121.52 | 2325.547165 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | Total Masonry Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 12.21692 \\ 116 \end{array}$ | 999.23 | 12207.51413 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Stone Pitching | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 15.29425 \\ 896 \end{array}$ | 100.30 | 1534.014173 |
| 5.1 <br> 2 | Plastering Work | m2 | $\begin{array}{r} 14.67276 \\ 528 \end{array}$ | 106.72 | 1565.87751 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Back Fill | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 0.280890 \\ 037 \end{array}$ | 60.76 | 17.06687865 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 17650.01986 |
| 4.1 | Drop Structure (Secondary Canal) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5.1 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | Total Masonry Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 162.7638 \\ 807 \end{array}$ | 999.23 | 162638.5525 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Plastering Work | m2 | $\begin{array}{r} 34.93408 \\ 358 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 106.72 | 3728.165399 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \hline 5.1 \\ \hline 6 \end{array}$ | Excavation Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 49.48300 \\ 828 \end{array}$ | 121.52 | 6013.175166 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Back Fill | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 75.17609 \\ 332 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 60.76 | 4567.69943 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 176947.5925 |
| 4.2 | Drop Structure (Tertiary Canal) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ \hline 8 \end{array}$ | Total Masonry Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 117.7294 \\ 356 \end{array}$ | 999.23 | 117638.7839 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5.1 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Plastering Work | m2 | $\begin{array}{r} 9.641513 \\ 445 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 106.72 | 1028.942315 |
| 5.2 | Excavation Work | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} 10.78585 \\ 916 \end{array}$ | 121.52 | 1310.697606 |
| 5.2 <br> 1 | Back Fill | m3 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 3.225524 \\ 805 \end{array}$ | 60.76 | 195.9828871 |


|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 120174.4068 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Bill of Quantity for Supply and Installation of Electrical Materials (Ruba_Chemiet Pump Irrigation System Project) |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{S} / \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | Item description | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Un } \\ & \text { it } \end{aligned}$ | Qty | Unit price | Total Price |
|  | Electrical manhole: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Electric Manhole in bricks and with concrete base internally of $600 \times 600 \times 700 \mathrm{~mm}$ including concrete cover with lifting hole. | No | 2 | 2,000 | 4000 |
|  | Low Voltage System Earth: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Earthing materials, including all inpection chamber, roads, tape bonding straps, bare copper wire, etc as necessary for the earthing requirements within and around pumping station | LS | 1 | 20,000 | 20000 |
|  | Distribution Board,MCC \& MSG |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Surface Mounted Sub Distribution Board SDB-GHP, LPP-CL,in steel enclosure with lockable door, bus bars+Neutral+Earth Terminals, all necessary fixing and connecting accessories, complete and consisting:- $1 \mathrm{pc}, 40 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{MCB} / 1 \mathrm{ph}: 1 \mathrm{pc}$, 10A, MCB, 1phase; 5pc, 16A,MCB, 1Phase;1pc, 25A, MCB, 1phase; and with ample reserve space. | set | 1 | 10,000 | 10000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | supply and install Flush Mounted Sub Distribution Board SDB-GP, LPP-CL, in steel enclosure with lockable door, bus bars +Neutral+Earth Terminals, all necessary fixing and connecting accessories, complete and consisting:- $1 \mathrm{pc}, 40 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{MCB}, 1$ Phas 4 pc , 10A, MCB,1Phase;1pc, 10A, MCB,1Phase; 2pc,25A,Main MCB,1Phase; and with ample reserve space. | set |  | 12,000 | 12000 |
|  | supply and install Flush Mounted Sub Distribution Board SDB-GP, LPP-CL, in steel enclosure with lockable door, bus bars +Neutral+Earth Terminals, all necessary fixing and connecting accessories, complete and consisting:- 2pc, 16A, MCB,1Phase;3pc, 10A, MCB,1Phase; 1pc,32A,Main MCB,1Phase; and with ample reserve space. | set |  | 15,000 | 15000 |


| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | supply and install Flush Mounted Sub Distribution Board SDB-PH, LPP-CL, in steel enclosure with lockable door, bus bars +Neutral+Earth Terminals, all necessary fixing and connecting accessories, complete and consisting:- $1 \mathrm{pc}, 63 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{MCB}, 1 \mathrm{Phase} ; 3 \mathrm{pc}$, 10A, MCB,1Phase; 5pc,25A,Main MCB,1Phase; and with ample reserve space. | set | 1 | 650000 | 650000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Floor Standing Motor Control Center Panel Board MCC-RCH, LPP-CL,in steel enclosure with lockable door, bus bars+Neutral+Earth Terminals, control cables, indicators, phase sequence relays, overload relays, Star Delta Motor Starters with isolated Cubicles for each Pump, Power Factor Correctors, Lighting arrestor, Earth leakage relays, Proper Cable size from MSGRCH, all necessary fixing and connecting accessories, complete and consisting:1PC,560A/3ph, MCB; 2pc, 250A/3ph , MCB ; and with ample reserve space. | set | 1 | 550000 | 550000 |
|  | Feeder Cable: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Copper power cable of 3x6sq.mm from MSG-RCH to SDB-GHP through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 25 mm . | m | 60 | 180 | 10800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Copper power cable of 3x6sq.mm from MSG-RCH to SDB-GP through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 25 mm . | m | 50 | 180 | 9000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.0 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Copper power cable of 3x10sq.mm from MSG-RCH to SDB-PH through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 50 mm . | m | 25 | 250 | 6250 |
| 6.1 | Supply and install Copper power cable of $3 x 70 / 35 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$ from MSG-RCH to MCC-RCH through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 50 mm . | m | 40 | 380 | 15200 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Copper power cable of $3 \times 35 / 25 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$ from MCC-RCH to each pump through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 50 mm . | m | 60 | 280 | 16800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Copper power cable of $3 \times 95 / 50$ sq.mm from EEPCo to MSG-RCH through PVC conduit \& minimum diameter of 50 mm . | m | 80 | 380 | 30400 |
|  | Light Points: |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | supply \& install Flush mounted light points fed through PVC insulated conductors of $2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduits of 16 mm diameter, the cable length as indicated on the drawing ,including its switch, with all accessories as indicated on the drawing and junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors, complete | No | 28 | 1200 | 33600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flush mounted switches: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Flush mounted single switch type ABB,legrand, Suno or equivalent | No | 1 | 150 | 150 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Flush mounted double switch type ABB, legrand, Suno or equivalent | No | 7 | 150 | 1050 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Flush mounted two way switch type ABB, legrand, Suno or equivalent | No | 2 | 150 | 300 |
|  | Light Fittings and Lamps |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.1 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Philips TMS 012/236I + 2xTLD 36w Fluorescent lamp | No | 22 | 400 | 8800 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.1 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | Philips TMS 012/236I + 1xTLD 18w Fluorescent lamp | No | 4 | 250 | 1000 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.1 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | RZB 301/58139.004/671521.002 | No | 2 | 120 | 240 |
|  | Socket Outlet Points: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | supply \& install 16A/1P socket outlet points (water proof) fed through PVC insulated stranded copper conductors of $3 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduit of 16 mm diameter, the cable length as indicated on the drawing , including junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors | No | 5 | 1400 | 7000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | supply \& install 25A/1P socket outlet points (water proof) fed through PVC insulated stranded copper conductors of $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduit of 16 mm diameter, the cable length as indicated on the drawing , including junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors | No |  | 1600 | 6400 |
|  | Flush mounted Socket Outlets: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install 16A/1ph,220v Normal socket outlet with eart contact, ABB,legrand, suno or equivalent. | No | 5 | 200 | 1000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install 25A/1ph,220v Normal socket outlet with eart contact , ABB,legrand, suno or equivalent. | No | 4 | 250 | 1000 |
|  | Fire detecting System: |  |  |  |  |


| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | Flush mounted Fire detectors with flush mounted fire alarm sounder fed through PVC insulated conductors of $2 \times 2.5 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduits of 16 mm diameter, with complete accessories, as indicated on the drawing and junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors. | No | 1 | 10000 | 10000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fan Points and fittings: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | supply \& install flush mounted fan points fed through insulated conductors of $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduits of 16 mm diameter, including junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors, complete | No | 6 | 2000 | 12000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install wall mounted axial fan with, outer casing mode from shock resistant UV stablized ABS, internal components from shock resistant PS and with its complete accessories. | No | 6 | 5000 | 30000 |
|  | Telephone Outlet: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Supply wireless public telephone for operator at guard house | No | 1 | 1000 | 1000 |
|  | Compound light: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | supply \& install compound light points through PVC insulated copper cable of $3 \times 4 \mathrm{~mm} 2$ inside PVC conduits of 21 mm diameter, with the cable length as indicated on the drawing, steel pole including its switch, with all accessories as indicated on the drawing and junction boxes with covers and insulating screw cap connectors, complete | No | 20 | 5000 | 100000 |
|  | Standby Generator: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.2 \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install Standby Generator of 330KVA (diesel driven), automatically operated, with complete generator set including all accessories, with proper cable size, control panel of parallel operation, circiut breaker for each generator, one set of standard mechanical and one set of standard electrical tools included and Gensets abiding all technical criterias according to the specification document. | No | 2 | 1300000 | 2600000 |
|  | Fire Protection and Safety |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | Supply Fire extinguisher, international ISO standard | No | 2 | 5000 | 10000 |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.3 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Supply First Aid Facility in the Pump House of pump station | set | 1 | 5000 | 5000 |


|  | Transformer \& KWH-meter: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and install LV outdoor, oil immersed Distribution Transforemer 315 KVA 15 kV/380V, 220V , Dyn11 configuration,four wire system muonted on wooden pole, with 10meter height impregnated wooden pole , Lightning Arrester ( 15 kV ), grounding system,HRC fuse in fuse box, and all fixing and mounting accessories as per EEPCos' requirment. | NO | 1 | 730000 | 730000 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6.3 \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | Supply and Installation of three-phase energy measuring instruments (Active and reactive meter) including power factor correction installations and earthing protection by EEPCo (Ethiopian Electric Power Corporation). | NO | 1 | 20000 | 20000 |
|  | Sub Total |  |  |  | 4927990 |
| 7 | Power house, Generator house and G control panel and gard house | $\mathrm{d} \text { ho }$ | eof the | $p$ and |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{S} . \\ & \mathbf{N} \end{aligned}$ | DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { UN } \\ \text { IT } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | QTY | UNIT PRICE | TOTAL PRICE |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 1 \end{array}$ | Clearance of trees \&rough leveling | ls | 3 | 2000 | 6000 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Excavation to remove top soil to a depth of 20 cm . | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 11.616 | 121.52 | 1411.57632 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | Excavate for foundation trench to a depth of 50 cm | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 29.04 | 121.52 | 3528.9408 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 4 \end{array}$ | Basaltic stone or equivalent hardcore under floor slab well compacted and blinded with crushed stone to a finished thickness of 25 cm. | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 14.52 | 100.30 | 1456.356 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | Back fill with selected material and Compacting 25 cm | M ${ }^{3}$ | 14.52 | 60.76 | 882.2352 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 6 \end{array}$ | 40 cm thick Trachytic or equivalent semidressed stone masonry wall cement sand mortar (1:3) ratio | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 9.6 | 999.23 | 9592.608 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 10 cm thick mass concrete in class C-10 base slab | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 5.808 | 1731.9 | 10058.8752 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 2 cm cement screed for the floor | $\mathrm{M}^{2}$ | 1.1616 | 76.48 | 88.839168 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.0 \\ 9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Wall Masonry work with 1:3 mortar ratio | M ${ }^{3}$ | 13.44 | 999.23 | 13429.6512 |
| 7.1 | 2 cm cement screed for the inside wall | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 1.92 | 76.48 | 146.8416 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ \hline 1 \end{array}$ | Concrete for beam C-15 | $\mathrm{M}^{3}$ | 9.6 | 2931.41 | 28141.536 |
| 7.1 2 | Concrete for Column C-15 | M ${ }^{3}$ | 11.52 | 2931.41 | 33769.8432 |


| $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | Re-inforcement bar Ø 10 | kg | 325.776 | 44.43 | 14474.22768 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ 4 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Re-inforcement bar Ø 6 | kg | $\begin{array}{r} 140.0836 \\ 8 \end{array}$ | 55.82 | 7819.471018 |
| 7.1 6 | Eucalyptus for side wall and roofing 12 | Pcs | 225 | 46.49 | 10460.25 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.1 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GI -32 galvanized corrugated iron sheet for Roofing | Pcs | 189 | 264.82 | 50050.98 |
| 7.1 9 | Nail 8 ncm | Kg | 9 | 54.05 | 486.45 |
| 7.2 | Nail 10 cm | Kg | 24 | 54.05 | 1297.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \\ 1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Nail 12 cm | Kg | 10.5 | 54.05 | 567.525 |
| $\begin{array}{r}7.2 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | Nail for roofing | Kg | 24 | 63.25 | 1518 |
| 7.2 3 | Hing H | Pcs | 24 | 13.8 | 331.2 |
| $\begin{array}{r}7.2 \\ 4 \\ \hline 7.2\end{array}$ | Hing T | Pcs | 24 | 23 | 552 |
| $\begin{array}{r} 7.2 \\ 5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Locker 12 | Pcs | 12 | 150 | 1800 |
|  | Sub total |  |  |  | 197864.6064 |
|  | Total |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 14902731 . \\ 93 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Vat 15\% |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2235409.7 \\ 89 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Grand Total |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 17138141 . \\ 72 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

## I. ANNEXES

## 1) Design of a typical drop structure (1m height), (USBR Type)

In order to reduce the number of drops, the secondary and tertiary canals have variable slope. There are some drops along the secondary and tertiary canals. Typical drop design for 1 m and 0.5 m height is presented below:

Design data for drop structure for the secondary canal.
Data: $\mathrm{Q}=0.152 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$
$\mathrm{U} / \mathrm{s}$ flow depth $=\mathrm{d} 1=0.25 \mathrm{~m}$
Height of drop $=h=1 \mathrm{~m}$

1. Critical flow hydraulics
a. Design discharge, $\mathrm{Q}=0.0946 \mathrm{~m} 3 / \mathrm{s}$
b. Height of drop $h=1 \mathrm{~m}$
c. Width of drop, $b_{c}=\frac{0.734 Q}{d_{1}^{3 / 2}}=\frac{0.734(0.0946)}{(0.42)^{3 / 2}}=0.26 \mathrm{~m}$

But, canal bed width, $\mathrm{B}=0.6 \mathrm{~m}$; hence provide $\mathrm{bc}=0.6 \mathrm{~m}$.
d. Unit discharge, $q=\frac{Q}{b_{c}}=\frac{(0.0946)}{(0.6)}=0.16 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{m}$
e. Critical depth, $d_{c}=\left(\frac{q^{2}}{g}\right)^{1 / 3}=\left[\frac{(0.16)^{2}}{9.81}\right]^{1 / 3}=0.14 m$
2. Stilling basin
i. Lip height, $a=\frac{d_{c}}{2}=\frac{(0.14)}{2}=0.07 \mathrm{~m} \rightarrow$ use 0.10 m.
ii. Length

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Length, } L & =\left[2.5+1.1 \frac{d_{c}}{H}+0.7\left(\frac{d_{c}}{H}\right)^{3}\right] \sqrt{H^{*} d_{c}} \\
= & {\left[2.5+1.1 \frac{(0.0946)}{(1.0)}+0.7 *\left\{\frac{0.0946}{(1.0)}\right\}^{3}\right] \sqrt{1.0 * 0.14} } \\
& =0.98 m \rightarrow \text { use } 1.00 m \\
\text { iii. } \quad B & =\frac{18.46 \sqrt{Q}}{Q+9.91}=\frac{18.46 \sqrt{(0.0946)}}{(0.0946)+9.91}=0.57 \rightarrow \text { use } 0.6 \mathrm{~m} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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Accordingly, the hydraulic parameters for all drop height for the main canal is calculated and tabulated as below:

Table 6:Hydraulic parameters of drops on main canal

| Dimension | Drop Dimension Ruba- Chemiet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 } \\ & \text { of } 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 } \\ & \text { of } 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 } \\ & \text { of } 3 \end{aligned}$ | SC_2 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 of } \\ & \text { TC_1 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 of } \\ & \text { 1_TC_2 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 of } \\ & \text { 2_TC_3 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SC_1 of } \\ & \text { 3_TC_4 } \end{aligned}$ | SC_1 of <br> 3_TC_5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SC_2 } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { TC_1 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1m | 1m | 1m | 0.5m | 1m | 0.5m | 1m | 0.5m | 1m | 0.5m | 1m | 0.5m | 0.5m | 1 m |
| Tw | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| dc | 0.50 | 0.48 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.33 |
| m | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| BW1 | 0.82 | 0.82 | 0.80 | 0.45 | 0.78 | 0.45 | 0.79 | 0.45 | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.79 | 0.45 | 0.46 | 0.78 |
| BW2 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.37 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 0.40 | 0.45 |
| X | 1.14 | 1.13 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.90 | 0.75 | 0.91 | 0.70 | 0.86 | 0.78 | 0.95 | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.90 |
| Y | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.95 |
| Z | 1.65 | 1.64 | 1.61 | 0.90 | 1.57 | 0.91 | 1.57 | 0.89 | 1.55 | 0.91 | 1.59 | 0.90 | 0.92 | 1.57 |
| W | 0.31 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.15 | 0.08 |
| F | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 |
| D | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.13 |
| T1 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.30 |
| B | 0.59 | 0.57 | 0.40 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.35 | 0.26 |
| Lb | 1.20 | 1.19 | 1.07 | 0.73 | 0.96 | 0.74 | 0.97 | 0.70 | 0.92 | 0.77 | 1.01 | 0.73 | 0.78 | 0.95 |



Figure 6:Typical Drop Structure

## 2) Design of Typical division box and turn outs

To ensure proper distribution of water for the command area, 6division boxes/turnouts are provided on the main canal to divert the water direct to Field canals. Stop loges are also provided to control the water on the canals.

The design of the division box is achieved according to the broad crested weir formula.
$\mathrm{Q}=C_{d} B H_{d}^{2 / 3}$

Where: $Q=$ design discharge from canal design m3/sec

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad C_{d=\text { discharge coefficient }=1.77} \\
& \text { B }=\text { crest width }(\mathrm{m}) \\
& \quad H_{d=}=\text { Head of water above crest. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume the following to calculate the water to the tertiary canal

1. Q1, B1 are the design discharge \& opening width from parent canal.
2. Q2 \& B2 are the design discharge \& opening width of the receiver canal one (may be the main canal).
3. Q3 \& B3 are the design discharge \& opening width of the receiver canal two (may be tertiary canal).
For $H_{d 1}=H_{d 2} \quad \frac{Q 1}{Q 2}=\frac{B 1}{B 2} \Rightarrow B 2=B 1\left(\frac{Q 2}{Q 1}\right)$, and $Q_{o}=\mathrm{Q} 1+\mathrm{Q} 2$
Note: Q1 and Q2 are already determined from the command area and duty of the crop hence, Q1 \& Q2 are known. Their values are calculated \& tabulated as below:
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Table 7:Hydraulic parameters of division boxes and turnouts Ruba-Chemiet.

| S. N | Division Box | Parent and <br> originating <br> canals | Chainage(m) | L1(m) | L2(m) | L3(m) | $\mathbf{D ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{B ( m )}$ | $\mathbf{B 0 ( m )}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | ML_1_DB_1 | ML_1 to SC_1 | $0+70$ | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 1.70 |
| 2 | ML_1_DB_2 | ML_1 to SC_2 | $0+120$ | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 0.26 | 3.00 |
| 3 | SC1_DB_3 | SC_1 to SC1 of <br> 1\& TC1 | $0+42$ | 1.64 | 1.34 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.28 | 1.00 |
| 4 | SC1 of 1_DB_4 | SC_1 of 1 to <br> SC1 of 2 \& TC2 | $0+111$ | 2.01 | 1.79 | 0.22 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.90 |
| 5 | SC1 of 2_DB_5 | SC_1 of 2 to <br> SC1 of 3 \& TC3 | $0+359$ | 0.96 | 0.62 | 0.35 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 1.10 |
| 6 | SC1 of 3_DB_6 | SC_1 of 3 to <br> TC4 \& TC5 | $0+157$ | 0.57 | 0.19 | 0.38 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 1.10 |
| 7 | SC_2_DB_7 | SC_2 to TC1 | $0+939$ | 0.27 | 0.28 | -0.01 | 1.33 | 0.26 | 3.00 |



$$
Q_{3} L_{3}
$$

Figure 7:Typical two-way turnouts

## 3) Hydraulic Analysis from pump station to pond station Ruba- Chemiet

Figure 8: Hydraulic Analysis from Pump Station - Pond - Reservoir

| CALCULATION OF HEAD LOSSES |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Calculation of head loss along the critical path |  |  |  |  |
| Factors | $1,131,000,000.00$ |  |  |  |
| C | 150 |  |  |  |

The calculation is based on the required discharge

| Hydraulic Analysis from Pump Station Pond Reservoir |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From-To | $Q\left(\mathrm{~m}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{hr}\right)$ | OD(mm) | WT(mm) | ID(mm) | L(m) | J(\%) | DH(m) | Zend(m) | Zin(m) | DZ(m) | Pend(m) | Pin(m) |
| Pound-Point-1 | 547.452 | 315 | 18.53 | 277.94 | 397.82 | 1.56 | 6.20 | 1773.00 | 1756.20 | 16.80 | 9.00 | 32.00 |
| Point-1 to Point-2 | 547.452 | 315 | 18.53 | 277.94 | 554.80 | 1.56 | 8.65 | 1756.20 | 1737.00 | 19.20 | 32.00 | 59.85 |
| Point- 2 to Point-3 | 547.452 | 315 | 18.53 | 277.94 | 136.66 | 1.56 | 2.13 | 1737.00 | 1735.20 | 1.80 | 59.85 | 63.78 |
| Point-3 to Point-4 | 547.452 | 315 | 18.53 | 277.94 | 139.44 | 1.56 | 2.17 | 1735.20 | 1728.20 | 7.00 | 63.78 | 72.95 |
| Point-4 to Pump | 547.452 | 315 | 18.53 | 277.94 | 109.28 | 1.56 | 1.70 | 1728.20 | 1717.500 | 12.20 | 72.95 | 83.218 |
| Losses at the system |  |  |  | 3.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total operating head (H) |  |  |  | 86.718 | Q= | 547.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump Power Determination |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Water Horse Power=(QXH)/270*Eff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Where $\mathrm{Q}=$ Total $\operatorname{Flow}\left(\mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3 / \mathrm{hr}\right.$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H}=$ Total Operating Head (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eff.=Pump \& Motor Efficiency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Therefore,Water Horse Power=( $\left.\mathbf{Q}^{*} \mathrm{H}\right) /\left(270{ }^{*} 0.7\right)=$ |  |  |  | HP | 251.18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pump Power in KW=0.743* $\mathrm{HP}=$ |  |  |  | KW | 187.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

